

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/790,734	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MIKURIYA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> John L. Goodrow	<b>Art Unit</b> 1756	

**All Participants:**

(1) John L. Goodrow.

(2) Mr. Lesmes.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 12 May 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**
☐ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
of record

Claims discussed:  
1-22

Prior art documents discussed:  
of record

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Restriction between the toner and method of production and the use of an acid value in the toner would distinguish it from the prior art.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)